IMPROVING CHARACTER BASED WRITING BY APPLYING PROJECT-BASED LEARNING METHOD OF THE TENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 1 KLATEN IN ACADEMIC YEAR OF 2019/2020

## S1 THESIS

Submitted as a Partial fulfilment of the Requirement for Undergraduate Dregree in English Education Study Program



By

Name : KALISNA LATIFA DEWI

No : 1611200004

THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF WIDYA DHARMA KLATEN

KLATEN

2020

### APPROVAL

IMPROVING CHARACTER BASED WRITING BY APPLING PROJECT-BASED LEARNING METHOD OF THE TENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 1 KLATEN IN ACADEMIC YEAR OF 2019/2020

By

Name

: Kalisna Latifa Dewi

Student's Number

: 1611200004

Approved by the Consultants to be Examined befor the Board of Examiners of Teacher Training and Education Faculty on:

Day

: Monday

Date

: 22 June 2020

Consultant I,

Dra. Sri Haryanti, M.Hum. NIP. 196106191987032001 Consultant II,

Umi Sholihah, S.Pd, M.Pd.

NIK. 690 112 326

## RATIFICATION

IMPROVING CHARACTER BASED WRITING BY APPLING PROJECT-BASED LEARNING METHOD OF THE TENTH YEARS STUDENTS OF SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 1 KLATEN IN ACADEMIC YEAR OF 2019/2020

By

Name

: Kalisna Latifa Dewi

Student's Number : 1611200004

Accepted by the Board of Examiners of Teacher Training and Education Faculty, University of Widya Dharma Klaten on:

Day

: Wednesday

Date : 08 July 2020

The Board of Examiners

Chairman,

Dr. H. Ronggo Warsito, M. Pd. NIDN/NIK. 0607106501/690 890 113 Secretary,

Ike Anisa, S.Pd., M. Pd.

NIDN/NIK. 0622038301/690 112 324

First Examiner,

**Ďra.** Hj. Sri Haryanti, М .Hum.

NIDN/NIP.0019066101/19610619 198703 1 001

Second Examiner,

Umi Sholihah, S. Pd., M. Pd.

NIDN/NIK. 0624028406/690 112 326

Ratified by the Dean of

WID A The Faculty of Teacher Training

and Education

MEGURUAN & WDr./H. Ronggo Warsito, M. Pd.

NIDN/NIK. 0607106501/690 890 113

### **PRONOUNCEMENT**

This is to certify that I myself write this thesis entitled "IMPROVING CHARACTER BASED WRITING BY APPLYING PROJECT-BASED LEARNING METHOD OF THE TENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA MUHAMMDIYAH 1 KLATEN IN ACADEMIC YEAR OF 2019/2020"

It is not a plagiarism or made by others. Anything related to other's work is written in quotation, the source of which is listed on bibliography.

If then this pronouncement proves incorrect, I am ready to accept academic punishment, including the withdrawal or cancellation of my academic degree.

Klaten, 20 June 2020

KALISNA LATIFA DEWI

NIM. 1611200004

ACBCEAHF51289853

# **MOTTO**

Sebuah Kemauan Lebih Penting daripada Kemampuan. (The Writer)

Dream it. Wish it. Do it. (Tally Waijel)

## **PRESENTATION**

This thesis is decided to

My beloved father and mother, Mr. Donny Susetyo and Mrs. Waginah. "Thank you for your support and prayers all this time. Thank you for everything".

My beloved sisters and brother, Meliawati, Rini Dwi Marini, and Bayu Prasetyo who always love, support and motivate.

Thank you so much for Hambali Badrumizan, who always help and give me support and motivation in every situation.

Thank you so much for Suryo Nugroho,my 4G (Tita Novrerariani S, Dian Kostradiana, and Meta Lisdayanti), Dwi Sri R, Warsiti, and Tridian Purnia S, Who always accompany me whenever I go, and help me everything that I need.

Thank you so much for Nurul Ni'matul Choir, who always give me enlightenment to finish this thesis.

Thank you so much for all of the member of PBI'16,who always give me support and motivation.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All highness, praise and gratitude are to Allah for giving the researcher strenghten and ability to finish this thesis. This thesis is a partial fulfillment of requirement for the undergraduate degree of aducation in English Education Study Program.

This thesis would imposssibly be finished without other people's help. Therefore, the deep gratitude and appreciation are presented to:

- 1. Prof. Dr. H. Triyono, M. Pd., as the Rector of Widya Dharma University.
- 2. Dr. H. Ronggo Warsito, M. Pd., as the Dean of faculty of Teacher Training Education.
- 3. Ana Setyandari, S. Pd., M. Pd., as the Head of English Education Study Program of Teacher Training nad Education Faculty of Widya Dharma University.
- 4. Dra. Hj. Sri Haryanti, M. Hum., as the first consultant, who kindly and warmly taught and guided me during the process of making this thesis and always supported me to complete this thesis as soon as possible.
- 5. Umi Sholihah, S. Pd., M. Pd., as the second consultant, the researcher says deeply thank you for her giving suggestion and correction in writing this thesis.
- 6. Drs. Aris Munawar., as the Headmaster of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten.
- 7. Nasirotun Artanti, S. Pd., as the English teacher in SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten.
- 8. The students of class X IPA 2 of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020 who gave participation for this research.

Nothing is perfect. The reserrcher reveals that this thesis is still far from being perfect. Therefore, the researcher would accept suggestion, criticism from readers in order to make this thesis perfect.

Finally, the researcher hopes that this thesis will be useful for especially the English students and readers in general.

Klaten, 20 June 2020

The Writer

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLEi
APPROVAL ii
RATIFICATION iii
PRONOUNCEMENTSiv
MOTTOv
PRESENTATION vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vii
TABLE OF CONTENTSix
LIST OF TABLES xii
LIST FIGURESxiii
LIST OF PICTURESxiv
LIST OF APPENDICES xv
ABSTRACTxvii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION
A. The Background of the Study1
B. The Reason for Choosing the Topic5
C. The Limitation of the Problem6
D. The Problem of the Study6
E. The Aim of the Study7
F. The Use of the Study7
G. The Clarification of Key Term8

H.	The Organization of the Study	10
CHAI	PTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
A.	Previous Studies	12
В.	The Theory of Writing	15
C.	Theory of Character Education	24
D.	Theory of Project-Based Learning Method	26
E.	Conceptual Framework	31
СНАІ	PTER III RESEARCH METHOD	
A.	The Meaning of Research Method	33
B.	The Strategy of the Research	33
C.	The Data and Source of Data	34
D.	The Technique of Collecting the Data	35
E.	The Validity of Data	37
F.	The Procedure of the Action	38
G.	The Technique of Analyzing the Data	39
H.	Testing Performance Indicator	43
СНАІ	PTER IV THE RESULT OF STUDY	
A.	Data Analysis	44
	1. Pre Cycle	44
	a. Teaching and Learning Process	44
	b. Students' Writing Skill	47
	c. Students' Character Assesment	50

2.	Cy	rcle 151
	a.	Planning
	b.	Acting52
	c.	Observing58
	d.	Reflecting62
3.	Су	cle 264
	a.	Planning64
	b.	Acting65
	c.	Observing67
	d.	Reflecting71
B. D	iscus	ession of the Findings71
1.	Pro	oject-Based Learning Method Improved Students' Writing Skill72
2.	Pro	oject-Based Learning Method Impoved Students' Character75
3.	Те	sting Performance Indicator76
CHA	PTE	R V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION
A	. Co	onclusion
В	. Su	ggestion79
BIBL	JOG	RAPHY80
APPE	END	ICES84

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Categories of Students' Writing	24
Table 2. Table Categories of Students' Writing	40
Table 3. Complete Value of Knowledge and Skill.	41
Table 4. The Scoring Rubric of Character	42
Table 5. Students' Score of Writing Skill in Precycle	49
Table 6. Score of Students' Character in Precycle	50
Table 7. Students' Score of Writing Skill in Cycle I	60
Table 8. Students' Score of Students' Character in Cycle I	62
Table 9. Students' Improvement on Writing Skill and Character of Precycle&	
Cycle I	63
Table 10. Students' Score of Writing Skill in Cycle II	69
Table 11. Students' Score of Students' Character in Cycle II	70
Table 12. The Number of Students' Mean Score in Writing Skill in Three Cycles	74
Table 13. The Number of Students' Achieving Minimal Mastery Criteria	74
Table 14. Testing Performance Indicator	76

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Conceptual Framework	31
Figure 2. The cyclical action research model based on Kemmis and Mc Taggart	
(1988) in Burns (2010: 9)	38
Figure 3.Students' Score of Writing in Precycle	49
Figure 4. The Categorization of Students' Character Score Based on Teacher's	
Observation in Precycle	51
Figure 5. Students' Score of Writing in Cycle	61
Figure 6. Score of Students' Character in Cycle 1	62
Figure 7. Students' Score of Writing in Cycle 2	69
Figure 8. Score of Students' Character in Cycle 2	71
Figure 9. The Mean Score of Students' Writing Skill in Three Cycles	72
Figure 10. The Mean Score of Students' Character Assessment in Three Cycles	75

# LIST OF PICTURES

Picture 1. The Classroom Situation in the Tea	ching and Learning Process55
Picture 2. The Classroom Situation in the teac	hing and Learning Process58
Picture 3. The Student's Private Blog	6

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Interview guideliness for teacher's activity in teaching and learning	
of Precycle	84
Appendix 2. The result of interview for teacher's activity in teaching and learning	
of Precycle	86
Appendix 3. Observation gudelines for teachers' activity in the teacher' activity in	
the teaching and learning using Project-Based Learning method of precycle	89
Appendix4. The result of teacher's observation activity in teaching and learning of	
precycle	91
Appendix5.Observationguidelinessforstudents'activity in teaching and learning of	
precycle	94
Appendix 6. The result of students' observationactivity in teaching and learning of	
Precycle	95
Appendix 7. Interview guidelines for students' activity in the teaching and	
learning Process of Precycle.	97
Appendix 8. The result of interview for students' activity in the teaching and	
learning of Precycle.	99
Appendix 9. The students' writing achievement in Precycle	101
Appendix 10. The students' characterassessment inPrecycle	102
Appendix 11. The result of interview teacher's teaching and learning activity in	
Cycle I	103
Appendix 12. The result of teacher's observation in activity in teaching and	
learning of Cycle I	105
Appendix13. The result of students' observation activity in teaching and learning of	
Cycle I	107
Appendix 14. Interview guidelines for students' activity in the teaching and	
learning Process. In Cycle I and Cycle II	108
Appendix 15. The result of Interview for students' activity in the teaching and	
learning in Cycle I	110
Appendix 16. The students' writing achievement in Cycle I	112

Appendix17.The students' characterassessment in Cycle I	113
Appendix 18. The result of interview teacher's teaching and learning activity in	
Cycle II	114
Appendix 19. The result of teacher's observation activity in teaching and learning	
of Cycle II	116
Appendix 20. The result of students' observation activity in teaching and learning	
of Cycle II	118
Appendix 21. The result of Interview for students' activity in the teaching and	
learning in Cycle II	119
Appendix 22. The Students' Writing Achievement in Cycle II	121
Appendix 23. The Students' Character Assessment in Cycle II	122
Appendix 24. The syllabus of Senior High School	123
Appendix 25. The Lesson Plan of teacher	126
Appendix 26. Instrument of test items post-test I	142
Appendix 27. Instrument of test items post-test II	143
Appendix 28. University Permission Letter	144
Appendix 29. Muhammadiyah Permission Letter	145
Appendix 30. The Letter of Official Statement from SMA Muhammadiyah 1	
Klaten	146
Appendix 31. The Example of Post Test Result 1& 2	147
Appendix 32. Consultation Card	149
Appendix 33 The Documentation of the Reasearch	152

### **ABSTRACT**

KALISNA LATIFA DEWI, 1611200004, English Education Study Program Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Widya Dharma University, Klaten 2020. Thesis: Improving Character Based Writing by Applying Project-Based Learning Method of the Tenth Year Students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in Academic Year of 2019/2020.

The thesis aims at verifying whether implementing Project-Based Learning Method can be used to improve character based writing of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020. This research involved 22 students of X IPA 2 class applying Project-Based Learning Method to be the respondents. This research was conducted by following the Kemmis and McTaggart's model consisting planning, acting, observing, and reflecting with two cycles. This research used observation, interview, test, and documentations as the data collection. The finding shows that there is improvement of students' achievement in writing skill and students' character assessment of precycle, cycle 1 to cycle 2. The mean score of students' achievement in precycle is 58. 54, in cycle 1 is 66.90, and the second cycle is 77.81. While the mean score of students character assessment in precycle is 40.77, in cycle 1 is 54.40, and cycle 2 is 79.95. So, it can be concluded that Project-Based Learning Method can improve character based writing skill of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

Key Words: Project-Based Learning, Writing, Character

### **CHAPTER I**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## A. The Background of the Study

Khamkhien states that there are several languages, for instance, English. English is an international language which is used in almost domain of communication, amongst several factors hindering the success of English language learning (2010: 757). It is used as a language of international communication throughout the world. It has four skills that should be understood by the learner. According to Sadiku, there are four English language skills, they are listening, speaking, reading, and writing (2015: 29). They should be understood by the learners. They are interrelated each other. Listening and reading are commonly called a passive or receptive skill. Speaking and writing are commonly called as an active or productive skills. To have a good speaking, the learners should learn listening. To have a good writing they should learn reading.

On the other hand, the learners should understand about not only the four skills in English but also the three aspects in the four skills. There are three aspects that should be understood by the learners from the four skills. The three aspects are spelling, vocabulary, and grammar. The learners should understand each aspect if the learners want to get good skills in English.

The first aspect is spelling. According to Kareema (2013: 2), many researchers prove that English spelling is complex while some intellectuals argue that English spelling has regularity. From that statement, it can be known that the learners should learn more about spelling in order to know the meaning of what is clearly written.

The second aspect is vocabulary. According to Alqahtani, vocabulary learning is an essential part in foreign language learning as the meanings of new words are very often emphasized, whether in books or in classrooms (2015: 21). Vocabulary is one of important things in writing, because when the learners write something and the learners have the limited vocabulary it can influence the message to be conveyed in that writing. The last aspect is grammar, according to Thornbury, grammar is a process for making a speaker's or wtiter's meaning clear when contextual information is lacking (1999: 4). The learners should understand both the four skills and three aspects of English.

In this research, the researcher focuses on writing skill. Writing is a process of expressing and sharing ideas, knowledge, information, and feeling into some media such as paper or computer. Writing is a method of representing language in visual or tactile form. Harmer defines that writing is a form of communication to deliver or to express feeling through written form (2001: 3). Commonly, whenever the author is writing a text there is a message that is

delivered. It can be conveyed clearly, if the author uses clear language on his writing. Writing is a complex process which involves different factors, and is an essential and necessary skill for ESL/EFL students.

According to Richards and Renandya, writing skill is the most difficult skill for learners to master (2002: 303). The difficulty lies not only in generating and organizing ideas but also in translating these ideas into readable text. It means that writing is difficult skill, because the researcher needs skills on how to write words correctly, how to put and arrange those words into sentences which are supposed to be meaningful based on grammatical rules.

According to Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 23 Tahun 2013 pasal 19 ayat (1) "Proses Pembelajaran pada satuan pendidikan diselenggarakan secara interaktif, inspiratif, menyenangkan, menantang, memotivasi peserta didik untuk berpartisipasi aktif, serta memberikan ruang yang cukup bagi prakarsa, kreativitas, dan kemandirian sesuai dengan bakat, minat, dan perkembangan fisik serta psikologis peserta didik". It means that English as the additional subject in senior high school is still be a concern of Indonesian government. So, English language teaching is very crucial and important to be implemented.

In this study, the researcher focuses on writing as a kind of skill to be discussed because there are many students' weaknesses in writing skill than other skills. Based on the real conditions found when doing preliminary research on January 29<sup>th</sup> 2020 of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020, they thought that there were some problems faced when they tried to write in English.

One of the learning techniques that is expected to improve problem solving skills for Senior High School students is the application of Project Based Learning. By using it, teaching and learning writing can not only improve writing skill but also build students' character. The students will surely encourage creative skill, responsibility, discipline, and also responsiveness. It means by applying Project Based Learning, students can convey their knowledge and be able to write their ideas by writing.

According to Warsono and Hariyanto, Project-based learning is defined as teaching that tries to link technology with the problems of everyday life that are familiar with students, or with a project (2013: 153). It means Project-Based Learning is a method that can be used for teaching and learning writing that can improve the students' creativity in writing skills.

Based on statements above, improving writing skill by using Project-Based Learning can increase the students' character. The characters are such as creative, responsible, descipline, and responsive. Through creativity, the students can build and increase their ability to have good writing skill, such as they can make

paragraphs by considering the purpose, structure of the text, and linguistic elements correctly and in context. Through responsibility, the students are expected to do their assignment that must be done by themselves. Through discipline, the students will behave well and have an effort to motivate their desire to solve many obstacles in learning writing. Through responsiveness, students are expected to be able to give enthusiastic responses in the teaching and learning process. Project-Based Learning is chosen because it has several advantages. According to Moursund in Wena (2009: 147), there are several advantages of using Project-Based Learning method: 1) increase motivation; 2) increase problem-solving ability; 3) improve library research skills; 4) increase collaboration; 5) increase resource management skills.

Based on the explanation above, the researcher believes that Project-Based Learning can improve the students' character based writing. In this study, the researcher did a research and analyzed deeper about the use of Project-Based Learning to improve character based writing of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

# **B.** The Reason for Choosing the Topic

The reasons why the researcher has chosen the topic are as follows:

- 1. Writing skill is the most difficult skill for learners to master.
- 2. The students of X IPA 2 SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020 still get low score in writing activity and had many problems when they did the writing activity, such as they have difficulty to express their ideas, their grammar and vocabulary are weak, and other problem which are related with the structure of writing.
- 3. Among other method, Project-Based Learning is appreciated to be more effective to make the students learn writing easily.

# C. The Limitation of the Study

The study is limited to improve character based writing by applying Project-Based Learning Method of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

# D. The Problem of the Study

The problem statement of the study is "Can Project-Based Learning method be used to improve character based writing skill of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020?"

## E. The Aim of the Study

The aim of the study is to verify whether Project-Based Learning method can be used to improve character based writing of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

## F. The Use of the Study

The use of this study is classified into theoretical and practical use. Theoretically, this study can be used to enrich, extent, and strengthen the repertoire of teaching and learning of English and its implementation in writing skill and its implementation to develop new method in order to make a charging of the students' achievement in English generally and writing comprehension especially. Practically, the result of this study can be used and implemented as a method of teaching and learning English especially in teaching writing to provoke and stimulate the students' interest about writing. The study can sharpen the students' ability and knowledge in writing skill, so it can become new experience in remembering something and understanding about the skill demand of writing itself.

## **G.** The Clarification of the Key Terms

To be easier for the readers to understand the terms dealing with the title of the thesis, the researcher needs to clarify some important terms. The definitions of the terms are as follows:

# 1. Improving

According to Mulyasa that improving in action research means improve in learning quality, so that it is continuous improvement of learning process and continuous quality improvement (2009: 8). While according to Longman (1998: 526), improving or to improve can make something better, bring to a better or more acceptable state.

In this study, improving means that students' learning quality in character based writing is improving by applying Project-Based Learning of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

## 2. Character Based Writing

According to Hornby (2005: 246), character is all the qualities and features that make a person, groups of people, and places different from others. While according to Huy (2015: 56), writing is one way to convey thoughts or ideas or others. Based on explanation above, character based writing means a way to

deliver thoughts or ideas that makes the students different from others in creativity, responsibility and descipline of the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

# 3. Project-Based Learning

Harrigan states that Project-Based Learning is the instructional teaching method that teacher must guide the students to do problem solving process (2014: 26). It becomes answer the question to solve problem. Another statement is offered by Krajcik and Phyllis (2005: 318) that Project Based Learning is a learning situation that students construct their information to solve problem in order to gain deeper understanding for learning.

Based on the definitions above what is meant by Project-Based Learning in this research is a method used in classroom activity as the medium of learning. At the beginning students are given a problem that should be solved by them. At the end of activity, the students must have a product. It becomes an indicator that students have understood the material. The teacher's role is as a students' guide or facilitator of the tenth year students od SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

## H. The Organization of the Study

This organization is presented in order to give the direction of the study arrangement. It is also meant to give a description to the readers.

Chapter I is Introduction. It consists of the background of the study, the reason for choosing the topic, the limitation of the study, the problem of the study, the aim of the study, the use of the study, the clarification of the key terms, and the organization of the study.

Chapter II talks about review of related literature. It consists of previous studies, theory of writing, theory of character education, Project-Based Learning, and theoretical framework.

Chapter III is the method of the study. It consists of the meaning of research method, the strategy of the research, the data and source of data, the technique of collecting the data, the validity of data, the procedure of the action, the technique of analyzing the data, and testing performance indicator.

Chapter IV is the result of the study. It consists of the data analysis and the discussion of the research findings.

Chapter V is conclusion. It consists of conclusion and suggestion.

#### **CHAPTER V**

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In this chapter, the researcher presents the conclusion and suggestion of the research from implementing Project-Based Learning method to the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020.

#### A. Conclusion

Based on the result of the study, it can be concluded that Project-Based Learning method can improve the teaching and learning of character based writing skill to the tenth year students of SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Klaten in academic year of 2019/2020. It makes the students easier to express their ideas in writing paragraph. There is improvement on the students' ablility of writing skill. It is seen on the students' mean score which improves from 58.54 in precycle. While, the mean score in cycle 1 is 66.90 and 75.81 in cycle 2. Project-Based Learning method can also improve the students' character. It is shown on an improvement in the characters that exist in every aspect, they are creative, responsible, discipline, and responsive.

## **B.** Suggestion

After the researcher carried out the research by using Project-Based Learing method to improve the students' character based writing skill, the researcher presents some suggestions to the teacher and to the students below.

### 1. To The Teacher

The teacher needs to be able to use Project-Based Learning method as alternative technique in teaching writing skill. The teacher monitors the students' activity during writing class and supports the interaction among the students in the classroom. Besides that, the teacher should give various materials for different meetings and try the integrated method to be applied in the classroom when teaching and learning process is conducted in order to make the learning process be dynamic, fun, and alive.

### 2. To The Students

The students must be active and creative in teaching and learning process, especially when they are taught by using Project-Based Learning method, because this method can be practiced with English outside the classroom by making short or writing diaries. It is also necessary for them to improve their vocabulary and increase their grammatical mastery. As a result, this method enables them to improve their writing skill and they will be good writers.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Alqahtani, Mofareh. (2015). The Importance of Vocabulary in Language learning and How To Be Taught. [Electric Version]. *International Journal of Teaching and Education*. Vol. 3, Number. 3, 21-31.
- Arikunto, Suharsimi. (2013). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik.* Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). Teaching by Principles An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy: Second Edition. New York: Longman.
- ———— (2004). *Language Assesment: Principles and Clasroom Practices*. New York: Pearson Education.
- Burns, Anne. (2010). *Doing Action Research in English Language Teaching*. New York: Routledge.
- Chappell, D. (2007). *The JCT Design and build Contract, 3rd dn.* Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Gunawan, Heri. (2012). *Pendidikan Karakter Konsep dan Implementasi*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Hadi, Sutrisno. (2015). Metodologi Riset. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar Offset.
- Harmer, J. (2001). The practice of English language teaching. London: Pearson
- Harmer, Jeremy. (2007). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*. New York: Longman Publishing.
- Harrigan, G. (2014). A Case Study of Teaching and Administrator' Experiences intregrating Project Based Learning. Walden: Walden University.
- Harsyaf, Nurmaini, & Zakhwan. (2009). Teaching Writing. Jakarta: MGMP.
- Hornby, A.S. (2005). Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hosnan, M. (2014). Pendekatan Saintifik dan Kontekstual Dalam Pembelajaran Abad 21: Kunci Sukses Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Hussein, Ashatu. (2009). The Use of Triangulation in Social Science Research: Can Qualitative an Quantitative Method be Combined?. Tanzania: University of Agder.

- Huy, Nguyen Thanh. (2015). Problems Effecting Learning Writing Skill of Grade 11 at Thong Linh High School. [Electric Version]. Asian Journal of Educational Research. 2(3). 2311-2080.
- Hyland, Ken. (2002). Teaching and Researching Writing: Applied Liquistics in Action Series. London: Pearson Education Longman.
- Hyland, Ken. (2009). Second Language Writing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jacobs, H, L., S. A Zinkgraf., D. R Wormuth., V. F Hartfiel., and J. B Hughey. (1981). *Testing ESL composition; a practical approach*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Kamaruddin, Syamsu. (2012). Character Education and Students Social Behaviour. *Journal of Education and Learning*. 6 (4): 223-230.
- Kareema, Fouzul. (2013). *English Spelling and its Difficult Nature*. [Electric Version]. Oluvil: South Eastern University of Sri Lanka.
- Khamkhien, Attapol. (2010). Thai Leraners' English Pronunciation Competence: lesson Learned from Word zstess Assignment. [Ealectric Version]. *International Journal of Language Teaching and Resaerch*. Vol. 1, Number. 6, 757-764.
- Krajick, J. S. & C. B Phyllis. (2005). *The Cambridge Handbook of Learning Sciences*. Michigan: Cambridge University Press.
- Krauss, J. & Suzie Boss. (2013). *Thinking Trough Project-Based Learning:* Guiding Deeper Inquiry. United Stated of America: Corwin.
- Laila, S. (2013). Narative of Blood Enmity in Indus Kohistan. [Electronic Version]. *Journal of Asian Civilization*. ISSN 1993-2696. 31 (1,2), 260-295.
- Lickona, T. (1991). Educating for Character: How schools can Teach Respect and Responsibility. New York: Batam.
- Longman. (1998). *Dictionary of Contemporary English*. Eight Edition. England: Longman Group UK Limitted.
- Majid, Abdul, and Dian Andriyani. (2013). *Pendidikan Karakter Perspektif Islam*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Meyers, Alan. (2005). *Gateway To Academic Writing*. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Mulyasa, E. (2009). *Praktik Penelitian Tindakan Kelas*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya Offset.

- Narbuko, Cholid & Abu Achmadi. (2007). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Nur, Isnaini H. (2018). The Effectiveness of Using Project-Based Learning in Teaching English Writing. Salatiga: IAIN Salatiga.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 21 Tahun 2016 tentang Standar Isi Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia No 23 Tahun 2013 Tentang Peubahan Atas Peraturan Pemerintah No 19 Tahun 2005 Tentang Standar nasional Pendidikan.
- Raimes, Ann. (1983). *Technique in Teaching Writing*. London: Oxford American English Publishing.
- Richards, J. C. & W. A Renandya. (2002). *Methodology in Language Teaching*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ritchie, Jane and Lewis. (2003). Qualitative Research Practice. A Guided for Social Science Students and Researcher. London. SAGES Publication Ltd..
- Rizky, Nadya S. (2018). The Use of Project Based Learning to Improve the Students' Writing Skill in Descriptive Text. Salatiga: IAIN Salatiga.
- Sadiku, L. M. (2005). The Important of Four Skills Reading, Writing, Listening in a Lesson Hour. [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Language and Literature studies*. Vol. 1, Number. 1, 29-31.
- Seliger, Herbert. W & Elana Shohamy. (1989). Second Languague Research Methods. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sepuldeva, J. (2016). *Introduction: Project Based Learning*. Oregon: University of Oregon.
- Sholihah, Umi. (2017). Project-Based Learning (PJBL) to Improve Students' Writing Capability. [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Teaching and Education*. Vol, 1. Number 1. 57-72.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Model-Model Pembelajaran Inovatif*. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.
- Suyono. & Hariyanto. (2015). *Implementasi Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Thornbury, Scott. (1999). *How to Teach Grammar*. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

- Warsono. & Hariyanto. (2013). *Pembelajaran Aktif.* Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Wena, Made. (2009). Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Komputer:Suatu Tinjauan Konseptual Operasional. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Zubaedi. (2011). Desain Pendidikan Karakter: Konsep dan aplikasinya dalam Lembaga Pendidikan. Jakarta: Kencana.